



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,292	09/25/2001	David Gok Louie	SBL0016US	9643
60975	7590	01/13/2009	EXAMINER	
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON LLP 11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2455		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/13/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,292	LOUIE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
MICHAEL Y. WON	2455		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>MICHAEL Y. WON</u> .	(3)_____.		
(2) <u>Shawn Doman (Reg. No. 60,362)</u> .	(4)_____.		
Date of Interview: <u>08 January 2009</u> .			
Type: a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic b) <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference c) <input type="checkbox"/> Personal [copy given to: 1) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant	2) <input type="checkbox"/> applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes e) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No.			
If Yes, brief description: _____.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Kao et al. (US 6,070,177) and Wong (US 7,1277448)</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) <input type="checkbox"/> was reached. g) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> was not reached. h) <input type="checkbox"/> N/A.			
<p>Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examiner expressed that the claim language "selected operations" was taught by Wong and suggested incorporating claim 4 into 1 to overcome Wong. The examiner also expressed that further consideration and searching would be necessary in response to an amendment.</u></p> <p>(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</p>			
<p>THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</p>			
		/Michael Won/	